## OFFICE OF ESTATE OFFICER, PRAGATI MAIDAN, NEW DELHI

In the matter of:

India Trade Promotion Organisation

....Petitioner

Vs.

M/s. Palace Restaurant

...Respondent

Present: Mr. Ayush Kapur, counsel along with Sunita Kamboj, DM and Sh.Lovenish Kumar Chadha, AM for petitioner

Mr. Vinayak Marwah, counsel for the respondent.

In continuation to the arguments held on 11.10.2022, 30.11.2022, 7.2.2023 and 21.3.2023, both the counsels were asked to provide the information, sought for, on the last date of hearing(s). Ld. Counsel for the respondent maintained that they have already made the payment. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner sought some time to provide the information. Ld. counsel for the respondent further submitted that he has not received and break-up along with invoices from the petitioner. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the same were provided to him on the last date of hearing, however, he again provided the same to the counsel for the respondent. The petitioner's counsel mentioned that the invoices and the break-up are very much known to the respondent since the filing of original petition which the respondent should have not denied.

It is once again directed to the respondent to provide proof of payment made by them towards property tax for the period 1.4.2004 to 10.6.2011. Likewise, petitioner is also directed to provide the exact calculations, i.e., the rate, period and area before the unit area method and total due amount. Also the rate, period and area and total due amount after the introduction of unit area method(revision of rates) and how much amount the petitioner has already received for the period 1.4.2004 to 10.6.2011 towards property tax.

The next date of hearing is fixed as 31.5.2023.

Estate Officer 02.05.2023

File No.139/EST/2014